## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

IN RE: CITY OF DETROIT, . Docket No. 13-53846

MICHIGAN,

. Detroit, Michigan

June 26, 2014

Debtor. 1:15 p.m.

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

EXCERPT OF HEARING RE. (#5259) STATUS CONFERENCE ON PLAN CONFIRMATION PROCESS (RE. FIFTH AMENDED ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURES, DEADLINES AND HEARING DATES RELATING TO THE DEBTOR'S PLAN OF ADJUSTMENT. HEARINGS REGARDING PLAN CONFIRMATION PROCESS; (#5285) CORRECTED MOTION TO QUASH SYNCORA'S SUBPOENA TO DEPOSE ATTORNEY GENERAL BILL SCHUETTE FILED BY INTERESTED PARTY BILL SCHUETTE; (#5250) MOTION OF THE CITY OF DETROIT FOR SITE VISIT BY COURT IN CONNECTION WITH THE HEARING ON CONFIRMATION OF THE CITY'S PLAN OF ADJUSTMENT FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#5300) JOINT MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENAS DUCES TECUM FILED BY INTERESTED PARTIES A. PAUL AND CAROL C. SCHAAP FOUNDATION, CHARLES STEWART MOTT FOUNDATION, COMMUNITY FOUNDATION FOR SOUTHEAST MICHIGAN, HUDSON-WEBBER FOUNDATION, MAX M AND MARJORIE S. FISHER FOUNDATION, MCGREGOR FUND, THE FORD FOUNDATION, THE FRED A. AND BARBARA M. ERB FAMILY FOUNDATION, W.K. KELLOGG FOUNDATION, WILLIAM DAVIDSON FOUNDATION; (#5478) MOTION OF THE GENERAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF DETROIT TO DESIGNATE AND DETERMINE ADDITIONAL LEGAL ISSUE REGARDING METHODOLOGY FOR ASF RECOUPMENT FROM RETIREES FILED BY CREDITOR GENERAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF DETROIT; (#5442) MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER CITY OF DETROIT'S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF A PROTECTIVE ORDER STRIKING SYNCORA'S DEMAND IN ITS RULE 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION NOTICE FOR THE PERSONAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF ALL CITY RETIREES FILED BY DEBTOR IN POSSESSION CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN; (#5436) MOTION TO COMPEL FULL AND FAIR RESPONSES TO SYNCORA'S INTERROGATORIES FILED BY INTERESTED PARTIES SYNCORA CAPITAL ASSURANCE, INC., SYNCORA GUARANTEE, INC.

(OPINION OF THE COURT)
BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEVEN W. RHODES
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

## **APPEARANCES:**

For the Debtor:

Jones Day

By: HEATHER LENNOX 222 East 41st Street New York, NY 10017 (212) 326-3837

Jones Day

By: GEOFFREY IRWIN
GREGORY SHUMAKER
51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001-2113
(202) 879-3768

Pepper Hamilton, LLP By: ROBERT S. HERTZBERG 4000 Town Center, Suite 1800 Southfield, MI 48075-1505 (248) 359-7333

For the Detroit

Retirement Systems:

Clark Hill, PLC By: ROBERT D. GORDON

151 South Old Woodward Avenue, Suite 200

Birmingham, MI 48009

(248) 988-5882

For the Official Committee of Retirees:

Dentons US, LLP By: SAM J. ALBERTS

1301 K Street, NW, Suite 600, East Tower

Washington, DC 20005

(202) 408-7004

Dentons US, LLP By: CAROLE NEVILLE

1221 Avenue of the Americas, 25th Floor

New York, NY 10020-1089

(212) 632-8390

For the Detroit Fire Fighters Association and the Detroit Police Officers Association: Erman, Teicher, Zucker &
 Freedman, P.C.

By: BARBARA A. PATEK

the Detroit Police 400 Galleria Officentre, Suite 444

Southfield, MI 48034

(248) 827-4100

## APPEARANCES (continued):

For Detroit Retired Lippitt O'Keefe, PLLC City Employees By: RYAN C. PLECHA 370 East Maple Road, 3rd Floor Association,

Birmingham, MI Retired Detroit 48009

(248) 723-6263

Police and Fire Fighters Association, Shirley V. Lightsey, and Donald Taylor:

For CFSM, The Plunkett Cooney Davidson Founda-By: DOUGLAS C. BERNSTEIN tion, The Erb 38505 Woodward Avenue, Suite 2000 Foundation, The Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304 Fisher Foundation, (248) 901-4091

Ford Foundation, Hudson-Webber Foundation, The Kellogg Foundation, McGregor Fund, The Mott Foundation and The Schaap Founda-

tion:

For James Knight Bilzin Sumberg

Foundation: By: MICHAEL KREITZER

1450 Bricknell Avenue, 23rd Floor

Miami, FL 33131-3456

(305) 374-7580

For Kresge Winston & Strawn, LLP Foundation: By: DESIREE M. RIPO 200 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10166

(212) 294-2622

For Syncora Holdings, Ltd., Syncora Guarantee RYAN BENNETT Inc., and Syncora 300 North LaSalle Capital Assurance, Chicago, IL 60654 Inc.:

Kirkland & Ellis, LLP By: STEPHEN C. HACKNEY

(312) 862-2157

For Erste Europaische Pfandbrief-und Kommunalkreditbank Philadelphia, PA 19103-7599 Aktiengesellschaft (215) 864-8236 in Luxemburg, S.A.:

Ballard Spahr, LLP By: VINCENT J. MARRIOTT, III 1735 Market Street, 51st Floor

## APPEARANCES (continued):

Michigan Department of Attorney General For Attorney

General of By: MICHAEL MURPHY MATTHEW SCHNEIDER Michigan:

525 W. Ottawa Street, Fl. 2 Michigan:

Lansing, MI 48933 (517) 373-1162

For Assured Chadbourne & Parke, LLP

Guaranty Municipal By: ROBERT SCHWINGER 30 Rockefeller Plaza Corp.:

New York, NY 10112 (212) 408-5364

For National Public Sidley Austin, LLP

Finance Guarantee By: GUY NEAL 1501 K Street, NW Corp.:

Washington, D.C. 20005

(202) 736-8041

Butzel Long, PC For County of Wayne, Michigan: By: MAX J. NEWMAN

Stoneridge West

41000 Woodward Avenue

Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304

(248) 258-2907

For County of Young and Associates Oakland, Michigan: By: JAYE QUADROZZI

27725 Stansbury Blvd., Suite 125

Farmington Hills, MI 48334

(248) 353-8620

For County of Dechert, LLP

Macomb, Michigan: By: ALLAN S. BRILLIANT

1095 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10036

(212) 698-3600

Court Recorder: Kristel Trionfi

> United States Bankruptcy Court 211 West Fort Street, 21st Floor

Detroit, MI 48226-3211

(313) 234-0068

Transcribed By: Lois Garrett

1290 West Barnes Road

Leslie, MI 49251 (517) 676-5092

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

1.3

Michigan.

THE COURT: All right. It appears that everyone is present. Addressing first the attorney general's motion to quash the subpoena that was issued to him by Syncora, the Court concludes that this motion should be granted. The Court concludes that the attorney general's opinion that is the subject of that subpoena is for all functional purposes the equivalent of a brief, and it will be given weight by the Court only to the extent that the facts on which it relies are established in the evidence and the law on which it relies is persuasive.

In weighing any settlements in the case, including what's been called the grand bargain here, the Court will weigh the merits of the opposing facts and law and not take into account the position or authority of the people who may have taken positions on one side or the other of the issues. So in these circumstances, there is no basis for questioning the attorney general regarding his legal opinion, so that motion is granted.

Addressing next the foundations' motion to quash the subpoenas that were issued to them, the Court again concludes that this motion should be granted. The Court concludes that none of the 30(b)(6) subjects and none of the documents that are sought from the foundations are relevant to or even

arguably relevant to the issues of whether the plan is discriminatory or whether it is unfairly discriminatory, the best interest of creditors or even the extent to which the so-called grand bargain settlement protects the art of the city. Accordingly, that motion is granted.

1.3

Now, having said that, it was mentioned during argument that Syncora is interested in information relating to the foundations' ability to pay. That is a relevant subject on which the Court would allow limited discovery. It is not, however, as far as the Court could determine, a part of the discovery that was, in fact, served. The Court hopes that Syncora's counsel and counsel for the several foundations can work out a streamlined and efficient way for Syncora to get the information it needs to evaluate this issue of their ability to pay.

In the motion to quash the foundations' requested costs, the Court will ask counsel for those foundations to file a separate motion for costs if they wish to pursue that.

Turning now to the motion for a site visit, the

Court is inclined to exercise its discretion to grant that

motion and to go on a site inspection as requested. The

Court believes it is likely that the value of such an

inspection would be outweighed by the effort it would take to

organize and execute the tour, so it will take, however,

further discussion and planning here in the meantime, so,

while I'm not prepared yet to enter an order granting the motion, I do think it is appropriate to move the discussions forward. And so to that end, I am going to ask the creditors who are objecting to the plan at this point to nominate one or two of them to attend a meeting with one or two representatives of the city, me, and the Marshals Office to discuss and conclude the details necessary to effectuate this site inspection. And if the creditors are unable to agree upon one or two representatives for that purpose, the Court will identify someone for you. So I think that's as much on that motion as we can do at this point in time.

Turning then to the city's motion for a protective order regarding the retirees' personal information, the Court did state on the record earlier that it would find that Syncora had withdrawn this request based on the Court's ruling that the retirees' hardships was not at all relevant to the issue of either unfair discrimination or fair and equitable. And just to elaborate on that a bit, as the Court stated earlier, it is unaware of any case law interpreting Section 1129 that holds that it is appropriate to consider the relative hardships of creditors in evaluating the issues under that section of the Bankruptcy Code. And, indeed, as the Court suggested in the hearing, if that door were opened here and that subject were relevant here, it would literally open up every single retiree as well as Syncora itself to

these same inquiries about hardship, assets, income, financial position, and that would be an extraordinarily burdensome and invasive process for all concerned.

Turning finally to Syncora's motion to compel complete and truthful answers to the interrogatories, the Court is, likewise, going to deny this motion but with a finding on the record here that to the extent that any answer to any of the interrogatories as to which Syncora seeks a more complete answer is incomplete, it's because the city doesn't know the answer.

Let's turn our attention finally then to the two status conferences.

INDEX

WITNESSES:

None

EXHIBITS:

None

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

/s/ Lois Garrett

June 30, 2014

Lois Garrett